IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA **BRYSON CITY DIVISION** 2:06CR4

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Vs. TERENCE HOWARD ROACH.)))	SECOND SCREENING ORDER
)	
THI	S MATTER is before the court up	oon defendant's l	Revised Motion to Suppress
(#23). In a	accordance with Rules 12(b)(3)(C)), 12(d), and 47,	Federal Rules of Criminal
Procedure,	the court has conducted an initia	al screening of	such motion and made the
following p	rocedural determination(s):		
	The Motion to Suppress is DEN jurisdictional statement as to def	-	· ·
	The Motion to Suppress is D submission of a supporting affid		prejudice for the lack of
	The Motion to Suppress is DENI to legal authority.	IED without prej	udice for the lack of citation
	The Motion to Suppress is DEN of the issue presented.	IED without pre	judice for lack of statement
	The Motion to Suppress is DE N memorandum of law in support	_	rejudice for lack of filing a
	The Motion to Suppress is DENI of necessary documents. In the affidavits and other materials that and materials that are contained in that the court has no ability to retain one court file to another attaching "Exhibits" to a motion	his case, defend at are not contained in the government rieve the government This is typic	lant has cited the court to ed within this captioned case t's file. Defendant is advised ment file or move documents ally accomplished through

√	The government shall FILE A RESPONSE to defendant's motion within
	FIVE (5) DAYS of receipt of this Order. There shall be no reply brief.

The Motion to Suppress is CALE	NDARED for ORAL ARGUMENT only
on,, 2006, at 2 p	.m. in Asheville.

√ The Motion to Suppress is CALENDARED for an EVIDENTIARY HEARING and ORAL ARGUMENT on March 27, 2006, at 2 p.m. in Asheville.

Defendant is advised that this is not a final Order, and any motion herein denied without prejudice may be corrected and re-filed as a new motion within five (5) days of receipt of this Order regardless of whether the pretrial motions period has expired. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

Counsel for defendant has advised in footnote one of the revised motion that he has "endeavored to obtain a transcript for this hearing [1/30/2006] for the purposes of attaching to this motion to no avail." The court has contacted the official court reporter who advises that no "Transcript Order Form" has been submitted by counsel and that counsel would, in any event, need to submit a CJA form to the district court for approval of that expense. If defendant desires the court to consider such transcript, defendant must submit such a transcript before March 27, 2006.

Signed: March 13, 2006

Dennis L. Howell United States Magistrate Judge